EXHIBIT 7

```
N7DCede1
      UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
1
      SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
      -----x
2
3
     DR. SARI EDELMAN,
 4
                     Plaintiff,
                                              21 Civ. 502 (LJL)
5
                 V.
6
     NYU LANGONE HEALTH SYSTEM, et
      al.,
 7
                    Defendants.
8
                                              Trial
9
                                              New York, N.Y.
                                              July 13, 2023
                                              8:55 a.m.
10
     Before:
11
12
                           HON. LEWIS J. LIMAN,
13
                                              District Judge
                                              -and a Jury-
14
15
                                APPEARANCES
16
     MILMAN LABUDA LAW GROUP PLLC
          Attorneys for Plaintiff
17
     BY: JOSEPH M. LABUDA
          EMANUEL S. KATAEV
18
      TARTER KRINSKY & DROGIN LLP
19
          Attorneys for Defendants
     BY: RICHARD C. SCHOENSTEIN
20
          RICHARD L. STEER
          INGRID J. CARDONA
21
22
23
24
25
```

Kaplan - Direct

- 1 | into compensation for a doctor, correct?
- 2 | A. Yes.
- 3 Q. And every physician has different arrangements when it
- 4 comes to CPT codes and RVUs earned, right?
- 5 | A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And in fact, some institutions have models where a
- 7 | physician earns RVUs but it doesn't count towards their target,
- 8 | correct?
- 9 MR. STEER: Objection, your Honor. Foundation, his
- 10 | experience.
- 11 | THE COURT: Why don't you establish the foundation.
- 12 | Sustained.
- 13 BY MR. KATAEV:
- 14 | Q. In your experience working with hospitals, you learned
- 15 | about physician compensation, didn't you?
- 16 A. In past experiences at other institutions, not here at NYU.
- 17 | Q. And you're aware that in at least other medical
- 18 | institutions there are circumstances where a physician can
- 19 | technically earn RVUs but it will not count towards their
- 20 | target, correct?
- 21 A. There are different models for different specialties in
- 22 different organizations.
- 23 MR. KATAEV: I'd like to publish WW, your Honor.
- 24 THE COURT: You may do so. Go ahead.
- 25 BY MR. KATAEV:

Swirnow - Direct

- Q. And the reason she was called in was because many appointments were rescheduled; right?
- 3 A. I'm not sure if that was part of it. I remember there
- 4 being concerns about her relationship with the staff in the
- 5 office.
- Q. And do you recall any discussions about the fact that there were patients rescheduled?
- 8 | A. No.
- 9 Q. You don't recall any concerns about the fact that numerous patients were rescheduled and you inquired as to why?
- 11 A. I don't recall.
- 12 Q. During that meeting, you also counseled Dr. Edelman on how
- 13 | to better get along with staff; correct?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. And during that meeting, Mr. Rubin told Dr. Edelman to
- smile more; isn't that right?
- 17 A. I don't recall that being said.
- 18 Q. During that meeting, Mr. Rubin told Dr. Edelman to fake it
- 19 | till she makes it; right?
- 20 A. I do not recall that being said.
- 21 Q. But you do remember discussions about Mr. Rubin telling
- 22 | Dr. Edelman to form relationships with staff; correct?
- 23 A. I remember him telling her, coaching her on how to get
- along better with staff, being nice to the staff.
- 25 | Q. And you haven't counseled any male physicians about how to

Swirnow - Direct

- 1 A. RVUs are not part of a business plan.
- 2 Q. Now, when a doctor's contract gets renewed after the
- 3 | initial contract, you no longer use a business plan to
- 4 determine the compensation; correct?
- 5 A. That's correct, we have actual experience.
- 6 | Q. And you work off the basic productivity; correct?
- 7 A. Productivity is used as a target expectation based on the
- 8 contract terms.
- 9 Q. And part of your duties involved reviewing
- 10 Dr. Edelman's RVUs to measure her performance against her
- 11 contractual requirements; right?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 | Q. And you asked your business team to regularly produce RVU
- 14 reports to you to do so; right?
- 15 A. I review performance of each physician annually or when
- 16 | there's a need to.
- 17 | Q. Is it fair to say that someone like Mr. Antonik reviews
- 18 | them more regularly, like on a monthly basis?
- 19 A. I don't know what Mr. Antonik does.
- 20 Q. You're not aware that Dr. Edelman -- I'm sorry. That
- 21 Mr. Antonik receives monthly reports of RVUs earned by
- 22 | physicians that he oversees?
- 23 A. I know the physicians receive their individual reports
- 24 monthly.
- 25 | Q. In order for you to obtain an RVU report, you use the Epic

N7dWede4 Swirnow - Cross

- 1 BY MR. SCHOENSTEIN:
- Q. Now, when you hire doctors to come into NYU, do you ever
- 3 consider their gender?
- 4 A. Never.
- 5 Q. Do you consider their race or national origin?
- 6 MR. KATAEV: Objection. Relevance.
- 7 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. Does NYU have any particular objectives in terms of diversity in their doctor workforce?
- 11 A. Yes. We like our doctors to be representative of the
- 12 communities in which they serve.
- 13 | Q. And the New York area is a pretty diverse community, right?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. Does NYU generally have a lot of female patients?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. And would it like to have a lot of female doctors?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 | Q. I want to turn your attention now to the employment of Dr.
- 20 | Edelman in 2014. Were you involved in negotiating agreements
- 21 | with Dr. Edelman and Dr. Mehta?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 MR. SCHOENSTEIN: Can we put back up on the screen
- 24 exhibit HH. That's the business plan we looked at previously.
- THE COURT: You may do so.

- 1 THE COURT: OK. I'll permit it.
- 2 Α. Yes.

- From NYU's point of view, was the lease an attractive asset 3
- that you were looking to acquire? 4
 - No. It was actually a burden. Α.
- And explain to the jury why it would have been a burden. 6 0.
- 7 First, I believe there was a 15-year-and-six-month lease,
- 8 and it actually sat empty for the two years following Dr. Mehta
- and Edelman moving into NYU office. And then when we found a 9
- 10 use for it, we actually had to do renovations because the group
- 11 that we were moving in to the office, it did not comport with
- 12 how the office was originally set up. Dr. Mehta and Edelman's
- 13 office was set up for their practice. We were moving in a
- 14 child psychiatry office, which doesn't use exam rooms, doesn't
- use infusion suites. They only use private counsel offices, 15
- and we also had to make it kid-friendly. 16
- 17 And at the time of the negotiations, was the lease
- 18 something NYU viewed as valuable that it wanted to acquire?
- 19 MR. KATAEV: Objection.
- 20 Α. No.
- 21 At the time of the negotiations, was it in NYU's financial
- 22 interest to become obligated on \$300,000 of loans?
- 23 Α. No.
- 24 Was it in NYU's financial interest to become obligated to
- 25 pay the staff, the supplies and the other overhead of Dr.

N7dWede4

- 1 A. Yes, I would have.
- 2 | Q. And do you recall what your reaction was to reviewing that
- 3 | information at the time?
- 4 A. I thought he was the person we'd been looking for.
- 5 Q. And what was his role -- what was the expectation of the
- 6 role he would assume?
- 7 A. He would have a clinical practice. He would bring with him
- 8 his extensive clinical research activity, and he would serve as
- 9 | the director of the rheumatology group in that area and help us
- 10 | build up the program.
- 11 | Q. Was that at all different from the expectations you had for
- 12 | Dr. Edelman?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. How so?
- 15 | A. The expectations for Dr. Edelman was just to have a
- 16 | clinical practice.
- 17 | Q. Now, did Dr. Goldberg provide financial information about
- 18 | his private practice?
- 19 | A. He didn't have a private practice. He worked at another
- 20 | institution.
- 21 | Q. So how did you go about, if you did, reviewing his
- 22 | financial data?
- 23 | A. I don't recall whether he provided his current compensation
- 24 or not, but that would be typical.
- 25 | Q. Where was he employed prior to NYU, if you remember?

N7dWede4

Swirnow - Cross

- You may do so. 1 THE COURT:
- 2 BY MR. SCHOENSTEIN:
- That's a 2017 contract with Dr. Goldberg? 3
- Yes. 4 Α.
- It's been pointed out -- I think you were here -- that this 5
- was renewed prior to the expiration of the first contract. Do 6
- 7 you recall that?
- 8 A. Yes. It also states it on the No. 1 section below.
- Q. And why did that happen? Why was there a renewal at this 9 time?
- 11 A. We felt Dr. Goldberg had been doing a great job.
- 12 really built up the program. We had added several additional
- 13 rheumatologists to the group, and he was personally exceeding
- 14 his RVU expectations.
- 15 MR. SCHOENSTEIN: And let's scroll down to the second
- 16 page.

- 17 Q. You see there that his RVU target was raised to 5,850?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 And was that reflective of the performance he had in the
- 20 first couple of years?
- 21 Yes. That would have been what he had been producing in
- 22 that preceding year.
- 23 Q. Did he continue to meet RVU targets over the years that
- 24 followed?
- 25 I believe he got even busier. Yes.

4

- than one doctor? What did this business plan reflect? 1
- 2 It was for Dr. Andrew Porges and Dr. Lenore Brancato, who
- was a part-time employee of Dr. Porges's in his practice. 3
 - Did you have an understanding of the breakup of the work Q.
- 5 between Dr. Porges and Dr. Brancato prior to coming to NYU
- based on what they told you? 6
- 7 MR. KATAEV: Objection. Best evidence.
- 8 THE COURT: Overruled.
- Yes, we knew exactly what each doctor was doing. 9 Α.
- 10 And who was doing the bulk of the work, if you knew? Q.
- 11 Dr. Porges was doing the majority. I would say upwards of
- 12 80 percent.
- 13 OK. So Dr. Edelman and Dr. Mehta had about a 50-50Ο.
- practice, right? 14
- 15 Α. Yes.
- 16 And your understanding of the Porges-Brancato practice was
- 17 more like 80-20?
- 18 Approximately, yes. Α.
- OK. Now, at the top of this, in revenue, it has the number 19 Q.
- 20 of \$2 million. Do you see that?
- 21 I do. Α.
- 22 What does that reflect, 2013, the 2 million-plus number?
- 23 That's how much revenue Dr. Porges's practice was
- 24 generating.
- 25 Was that an attractive amount of revenue for NYU?

N7dWede4

1	going to forget about top line, bottom line.
2	Q. You considered both of these business plans, right?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Did you have a view as to which practice was more
5	attractive to NYU?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. What was that view?
8	A. Dr. Porges's practice was significantly larger and brought
9	more things to NYU with it. He had clinical research revenue
10	and he had a more robust practice that generated significantly
11	more revenue.
12	MR. KATAEV: Objection to relevance, your Honor.
13	THE COURT: Overruled.
14	(Continued on next page)
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

- 1 | Q. Why was it higher?
- 2 A. That was what he was doing in his practice before he joined 3 us.
 - MR. SCHOENSTEIN: And then go to the next page, please.
 - Q. Do you see that research revenue target?
- 7 | A. I do.

4

5

6

- Q. Can you explain what that provision means.
- A. Yes. This is the revenue that Dr. Porges generated from
 his clinical research activities, and he was required to not
 only meet that 6500-and-change RVU target, but also generate
 \$228,000 of research revenue. So he had two expectations from
- 13 his clinical activity.
- 14 | Q. Did that differ from the expectations of Dr. Edelman?
- 15 A. Yes, she just had an RVU target.
- 16 Q. Are you familiar with Dr. Porges' performance with respect
 17 to RVUs?
- 18 A. I'm not going to be able to recollect the specific numbers,
- 19 | but I recall him meeting or exceeding the RVU target.
- 20 | Q. And is that true throughout his tenure at NYU?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 \parallel Q. Are there any years that would be exceptions to that?
- A. Yes. During the pandemic and COVID, it was difficult for physicians, obviously. Everybody was home and not coming into
- 25 the doctors' offices, so we kept paying all of our physicians

N7DCede5

- 1 | the health system.
- 2 | Q. In the 2017 contract, do you recognize this as his renewal
- 3 contract in 2017?
- 4 | A. Yes.
- 5 | Q. And scroll down, please, to page H71. And do you see a
- 6 | \$17,000 administration number?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 | Q. Did that have any relation to his new role?
- 9 A. Yes, that was directly tied to his new role with clearly
- 10 defined job responsibilities associated with that.
- 11 Q. And his clinical number went down to 323?
- 12 A. Yes. Essentially, what we were doing was saying you're
- 13 going to be taking on this role with these expectations, which
- 14 | is going to take you away from being able to provide clinical
- 15 | time.
- 16 | Q. I see.
- 17 And tell me, what was his title?
- 18 A. Clinical director of ambulatory rheumatology in Long
- 19 Island.
- 20 | Q. Did there come a time where his title changed?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. When did that happen, if you know?
- 23 | A. I don't recall, but I know that he became the medical
- 24 director of the ambulatory care site in Lake Success.
- 25 | Q. How did that come about?

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- A. Dr. Porges expressed interest in taking on that role and sent us a proposal of what he would accomplish in that role.
- Q. How did the medical director role differ, if at all, from the clinical director role?
 - A. The clinical director role was solely in rheumatology. The medical director role was for all specialties.
 - Q. And it covers the whole Marcus Avenue practice?
 - A. Yes, we had offices, I believe, at that time in three different buildings right on that campus. So it encompassed the entirety of what we would call Ambulatory Care Lake Success of those three buildings.
- MR. SCHOENSTEIN: Let's put up, please, exhibit 34, which has been previously entered.
- Q. This is the 2020 renewal of Dr. Porges. Do you recognize it?
- 16 A. If you could scroll down, it's the cover page. Yes.
- MR. SCHOENSTEIN: And let's go to page 878. Stop at the top of page 878 for a minute.
- Q. Do you see that bullet point that non-disparagement clause at the top of page 878?
- 21 | A. I do.
- 22 | Q. Are you familiar with that provision?
- 23 A. It's in all of our agreements.
- 24 | Q. With all of your doctors?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 NYU, did you know that at the time?
- 2 A. Yes, \$340,000.
- 3 MR. KATAEV: Objection. Best evidence.
- 4 THE COURT: Overruled.
 - Q. Did he have any demands that he made or communicated to you regarding salary if he were to join NYU?
- 7 A. Yes, he wanted an increase and I believe he asked for 8 \$360,000.
- 9 Q. I don't think I asked you this about Dr. Goldberg. Did he 10 have a salary demand when he joined NYU?
- 11 | A. Yes.

5

- 12 \parallel Q. What was that?
- 13 A. \$290,000.
- 14 | Q. Was Dr. Modi attractive to NYU?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 | Q. Why, what reasons do you recall, sitting here today?
- A. I remember him being a busy rheumatologist, I remember him having a good reputation in the community, and I remember him
- 19 having had demonstrated leadership positions and that we were
- 20 | recruiting him to our Huntington Medical Group practice, which
- 21 was a large existing group, about 50 physicians in that
- 22 | practice. But we didn't have any rheumatology services at that
- 23 practice and we wanted to add that because our patients in that
- 24 area needed that service, so we identified Dr. Modi as someone
- 25 | that could help -- come in and help see those patients for us.

N7DCede5

- 1 | Q. In hiring Dr. Modi, did NYU have to assume any loans?
- 2 | A. No.
- 3 Q. Did NYU have to take over an office lease?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. Did NYU have any expenses that it had to take over for
- 6 staff or office supplies or overhead?
- 7 | A. No.
- 8 MR. SCHOENSTEIN: Let's put up Plaintiff's 35, which
- 9 | is already in evidence.
- 10 | Q. Do you recognize that to be the 2017 contract with
- 11 Dr. Modi?
- 12 A. Yes.
- MR. SCHOENSTEIN: And let's go to page 888.
- 14 | Q. His compensation was set at \$360,000?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Was that consistent with his demand at the time?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- MR. SCHOENSTEIN: Let's go to page 892, if we can get
- 19 | it back on the screen.
- 20 | Q. Do you see his RVU target was 6108?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. Do you know if Dr. Modi generally met or exceeded his RVU
- 23 | targets?
- MR. KATAEV: Objection. Best evidence.
- 25 THE COURT: Overruled. It's not being used to prove

N7DCede5

- 1 | the contents of the written communication. Go ahead.
- 2 A. Yes, he exceeded his target.
- 3 | Q. Is Dr. Modi still with the team?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 | Q. Do you view that as having been a successful hire?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. In hiring Dr. Goldberg, Dr. Porges, and Dr. Modi, did their
- 8 gender come into play in any of your discussions or
- 9 considerations?
- 10 A. Not at all.
- 11 Q. Did it matter to you at all that they were men?
- 12 | A. No.
- 13 | Q. There was some testimony, some questions about a meeting in
- 14 | 2017 that you were involved in with Dr. Edelman. Do you recall
- 15 | that?
- 16 | A. I do.
- 17 | Q. Who, if anyone, do you recall being at that meeting?
- 18 A. Dr. Edelman, myself, Andrew Rubin, and Fran Drummond.
- 19 Q. Who is Fran Drummond?
- 20 A. She's the vice president of operations with the
- 21 organization. She's my peer.
- 22 | Q. And what is her area, what does she do?
- 23 A. She's responsible for the day-to-day operations of all of
- 24 | the ambulatory care network.
- 25 Q. Do you know why that meeting was held?

N7DCede5 Swirnow - Cross

- 1 | A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Why?
- 3 A. We were made aware of some issues between Dr. Edelman and
- 4 | the staff.
- 5 | Q. To the best of your recollection, what were the nature of
- 6 | those issues?
- 7 A. I think they were not getting along, there were complaints
- 8 about the way Dr. Edelman communicated or didn't communicate
- 9 with the staff.
- 10 | Q. And do you know, was that meeting scheduled and calendared
- 11 | with everybody and then held?
- 12 | A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Do you recall what happened at that meeting?
- 14 A. I recall talking to doctor -- we, as a group, talking to
- 15 Dr. Edelman about the issues and coaching her a little bit
- 16 about how to better get along with the staff, giving her some
- 17 | advice and pointers on how to develop better relationships with
- 18 \parallel the staff.
- 19 | Q. Did you speak at that meeting?
- 20 A. I don't recall.
- 21 | Q. Did Mr. Rubin?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. Did Ms. Drummond?
- 24 A. Most likely.
- 25 Q. Do you recall specifically anything Mr. Rubin said?

- 1 | A. Just the general themes, nothing specific.
- 2 | Q. And what was the result of the meeting, if any?
- 3 A. I think things got better after that with Dr. Edelman and
- 4 | the staff for some period of time at least.
- 5 | Q. Do you recall anything else about the nature of the issues
- 6 between her and the staff that came to your attention?
- 7 A. Not specifically, no.
- 8 | Q. Now, a meeting like that with the doctor having issues with
- 9 staff, is she the only doctor you've ever had a meeting like
- 10 | that with?
- 11 | A. No.
- 12 | Q. How often, if any, does a meeting like that happen?
- 13 MR. KATAEV: Objection. Relevance.
- 14 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 15 A. Between phonecalls about the topic and meetings, I'd say
- 16 monthly.
- 17 | Q. Do you recall other in-person meetings with doctors,
- 18 coaching them on how to get along with staff?
- 19 | A. Yes, many.
- 20 \parallel Q. Do you have meetings like that with male doctors?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. And female doctors?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. Are there more with men or more with women or do you
- 25 recall?

- herself, which I said was up to her. It was her decision to go 1 to Huntington Medical Group in the first place, and if she no 2 longer wanted to go there and wanted to work four days or five 3 4 days in the Lake Success office, that was totally fine with us
- 5 and we would make it work.
 - What was the tone of the conversation? 0.
- 7 Mostly pleasant, as far as I recall.
- Did either side raise their voice, to your recollection? 8
- Not at all. 9 Α.
- 10 And did Dr. Edelman say anything about an HR complaint that 11 you remember?
- 12 At the end, she mentioned that there was an HR complaint, 13 but we never got into any details about what the complaint was
- 14 about.

- 15 Q. And what, if anything, did you say to her about that?
- My understanding was the complaint was about the use of the 16
- 17 office space, so I was a little bit confused when she said she
- 18 was still going through with the complaint because I thought we
- 19 had just resolved the issue. So I think I said something like,
- 20 okay, every employee is within their rights to file a complaint
- 21 and if that's what you want to do, go ahead.
- 22 Q. Were you concerned about that complaint after talking with
- 23 her?
- 24 A. No, because I thought, again, that we had resolved the
- 25 issue.